

FILED IN  
4TH DISTRICT COURT  
STATE OF UTAH  
UTAH COUNTY

2005 FEB 25 P 3: 46

STANLEY J. PRESTON (4119)  
MICHAEL R. CARLSTON (0577)  
MARALYN M. REGER (8468)  
BRYAN M. SCOTT (9381)  
SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
10 Exchange Place, Eleventh Floor  
Post Office Box 45000  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145  
Telephone: (801) 521-9000

---

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

---

RALPH J. YARRO III, an individual,  
DARCY G. MOTT, an individual, and  
BRENT D. CHRISTENSEN, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

VAL NOORDA KREIDEL, an individual,  
TERRY PETERSON, an individual,  
WILLIAM MUSTARD, an individual, THE  
NOORDA FAMILY TRUST, a Utah Trust,  
RAYMOND J. NOORDA, an individual  
and a trustee of the Noorda Family Trust,  
LEWENA NOORDA, an individual and a  
trustee of the Noorda Family Trust, and  
JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10,

Defendants,

THE CANOPY GROUP, INC., a Utah  
corporation,

Intervenor Defendant.

---

**REPLY MEMORANDUM IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVITS,  
AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER**

Civil No. 050400205

Honorable Anthony W. Schofield, Div. 8

Plaintiffs Ralph J. Yarro III, Darcy G. Mott and Brent D. Christensen (hereafter referred to collectively as “plaintiffs”) respectfully submit their Reply Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal Affidavits, and Motion for Protective Order.

### ARGUMENT

Defendants’ characterization of plaintiffs’ request for an order prohibiting defendants from taking “retaliatory action” against any person or entity who provides testimony (through deposition, affidavit or otherwise) related to this litigation as some type of “extraordinary protective order” is misplaced. Indeed, there is nothing “extraordinary” at all about plaintiffs’ request. Plaintiffs are simply trying to protect those persons or entities that provide testimony during this litigation from being subject to retaliation from the defendants.

It has been well documented that William Mustard is currently operating the affairs of Canopy with a heavy hand. Mr. Mustard has treated Canopy employees in an intimidating, rude and hostile manner, he has bullied employees into signing backdated documents, and has showed no sympathy regarding the tragic death of one of Canopy’s valued employees. In addition, Mr. Mustard has also threatened to withhold funding from at least one Canopy portfolio company unless the company removed Mr. Yarro and Mr. Mott from its board of directors. These documented and concrete examples of Mr. Mustard show, significantly, that plaintiffs’ request that defendants be ordered not to retaliate against those supplying testimony in this case is both reasonable and necessary. Based on the previously documented actions, if plaintiffs’ request is


not granted it is very likely that those persons or entities that supply testimony in this case will suffer retaliation in one form or another.

There is no legal authority that prevents this court from ordering the requested relief. Moreover, if the court fails to grant the relief sought, it will most likely have a chilling effect on getting to the truth of the matters at issue in this case. Plaintiffs' request is not "extraordinary," it is basic and simple. Moreover, if plaintiffs' request is granted it will secure that the truth will come out because those that may not be forthcoming because of fear of retaliation from defendants will have the security of knowing that the court will protect them.

Plaintiffs' request should be granted because it will provide security and will empower those persons to come forward with information, who might otherwise not because of the fear of retaliation by defendants.

DATED this 24th day of February, 2005.

SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stanley J. Preston  
Michael R. Carlston  
Maralyn M. Reger  
Bryan M. Scott  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2005, a true and correct copy of **REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVITS, AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER** (Case No. 050400205, Fourth Judicial District Court, Utah County, State of Utah) was served on the following by U. S. mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

David B. Watkiss, Esq.  
James W. Stewart, Esq.  
Anthony C. Kaye, Esq.  
BALLARD SPAHR ANDREWS & INGERSOLL, LLP  
201 South Main Street, Suite 600  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2221

*Attorneys for William Mustard, The Noorda Family Trust, Raymond J. Noorda, Lewena Noorda, and The Canopy Group, Inc.*

Jeffrey S. Facter, Esq.  
SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP  
525 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 84105

Eric G. Maxfield, Esq.  
HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP  
299 South Main, Suite 1800  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

*Attorneys for Terry Peterson*

Blake Miller, Esq.  
MILLER & GUYMON  
165 So. Regent Street  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

*Attorneys for Val Noorda Kreidel*

  
\_\_\_\_\_