Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stephen N. Zack
Mark J. Heise
David K. Markarian
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER L.L.P.
100 Southeast Second Street
Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Attorneys for Plaintiff The SCO Group, Inc.

FILED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH

FEB - 4 2004

MARKUS B. ZIMINER, CLERK

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a New York corporation,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PLEADINGS

Case No. 03-CV-0294

Hon: Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc. ("SCO"), through its undersigned counsel, pursuant to Rules 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and

applicable Local Rules, files this motion for leave to file its Second Amended Complaint and Amended Affirmative Defenses to IBM's Counterclaim, and in support states:

- 1. SCO's proposed Second Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". This proposed amended complaint serves to streamline the pleadings and adds claims that have arisen since the filing of the case.
- 2. SCO's proposed Amended and Additional Affirmative Defenses to IBM's Counterclaim are attached hereto as Exhibit "B". These proposed amendments reflect the continuing investigation into the allegations and issues raised by IBM in its eleven count counterclaim, including four separate claims of patent infringement, and serve to better frame the issues for this Court's determination. Moreover, the revisions address the concerns raised in IBM's Motion to Strike portions of SCO's Affirmative Defenses, thereby rendering moot IBM's Motion to Strike.
- 3. No prejudice will result to IBM by the granting of this Motion. The current discovery cut off date is not until August 4, 2004 for fact discovery and October 22, 2004 for expert discovery. Moreover, the Court set February 4, 2004 as the deadline for amending pleadings.
- 4. In addition, great prejudice will be suffered by SCO if it precluded from amending its pleadings and affirmative defenses. Moreover, the recent stay of IBM's discovery obligations have limited SCO's ability to assess the case and fashion and plead defenses to IBM's Counterclaim. It is anticipated that IBM may reveal through discovery additional evidence relevant to the issues raised by its Counterclaim and that SCO may in fact request a

future opportunity to further align its claims once IBM's resumes the process of complying with its discovery obligations.

SCO has filed concurrently herewith this Memorandum of Law In Support of its Motion for Leave to File its Second Amended Complaint and Amended Affirmative Defenses to IBM's Amended Counterclaim.

DATED THIS 4th day of February, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, L.L.P. Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise David K. Markarian

Counsel for Plaintiff/Counterclaim defendant

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, The SCO Group, hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by mail on Defendant International Business Machines Corporation on the \_\_\_\_\_\_day of February 2004, by U.S. Mail to:

David Marriott, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019

Donald J. Rosenberg, Esq. 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604

and HAND-DELIVERED to:

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. 15 West South Temple, Ste. 1200 Gateway Tower West Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004 Exhibits/
Attachments
to this document
have **not** been
scanned.

Please see the case file.