

*Call
BOS*

STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,


Plaintiff,

v.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION,

Defendant.

04-056587-CK



CLERK JUDGE RAE LEE CHABOT
COUNTY SCO GROUP INC V DAIMLERCHR

Civil Action No. 04-056587-CK

Honorable Rae Lee Chabot

Joel H. Serlin (P20224)
 Barry M. Rosenbaum (P26487)
 SEYBURN, KAHN, GINN, BESS AND
 SERLIN, P.C.
 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 2000 Town Center, Suite 1500
 Southfield, MI 48075
 (248) 353-7620

James P. Feeney (P13335)
 Thomas S. Bishoff (P53753)
 Stephen L. Tupper (P53918)
 DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
 Attorneys for Defendant
 39577 Woodward Avenue,
 Suite 300
 Bloomfield, MI 48304-2820
 (248) 203-0700

RECEIVED FOR FILING
 OAKLAND COUNTY CLERK

[Signature]
 CLERK
 JUN 17 A 10:03

**THE SCO GROUP, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW
 IN OPPOSITION TO DAIMLERCHRYSLER
 CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
COUNTER-STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	4
ARGUMENT	5
I. DAIMLER'S MOTION MUST FAIL BECAUSE THERE ARE DISPUTED ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT AND NO DISCOVERY OF THOSE ISSUES HAS BEEN CONDUCTED	5
II. DAIMLER'S UNTIMELY CERTIFICATION CREATES A GENUINE ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT PRECLUDING SUMMARY DISPOSITION	7
III. DAIMLER'S FAILURE TO PROVIDE A LIST OF DESIGNATED CPU _s CREATES A DISPUTED ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT PRECLUDING SUMMARY DISPOSITION	11
IV. DAIMLER'S REFUSAL TO CERTIFY ITS COMPLIANCE WITH ALL OF ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE LICENSE AGREEMENT CREATES A GENUINE ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT PRECLUDING SUMMARY DISPOSITION	14
CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>76 North Associates v. Theil Managemen. Corp.</i> , 132 A.D.2d 695, 518 N.Y.S.2d 174 (2d Dep't 1987).....	9
<i>Abkco Music, Inc. v. Westminster Music, Ltd.</i> , 838 F. Supp. 153 (S.D.N.Y. 1993).....	17
<i>Allied Clove Lakes Co. v. Demisay</i> , 74 A.D.2d 466, 428 N.Y.S.2d 460 (1st Dep't 1980).....	14
<i>Colista v. Thomas</i> , 241 Mich. App. 529, 616 N.W.2d 249 (2000).....	6
<i>D'Avanzo v. Wise & Marsac, PC</i> , 223 Mich. App. 314, 565 N.W.2d 915 (1997).....	15, 19
<i>Gaines v. Shell Oil Co.</i> , No. 218659, 2001 WL 689910 (Mich. App. Apr. 10, 2001)	6
<i>Kammerer v. Meadowbrook</i> , 456 Mich. 945, 616 N.W.2d 168 (1998), <i>reversing on basis of dissenting opinion</i> , No. 193261, 1997 WL 33353302 (Mich. App. Mar. 11, 1997).....	6
<i>Konik v. Anesthesia Associates</i> , 128 A.D.2d 933, 512 N.Y.S.2d 739 (3d Dep't 1987).....	15
<i>Laba v. Carey</i> , 29 N.Y.2d 302, 327 N.Y.S.2d 613, 277 N.E.2d 641 (1971).....	12
<i>Lake Steel Erection, Inc. v. Egan</i> , 61 A.D.2d 1125, 403 N.Y.S.2d 387 (4th Dep't 1978).....	8, 9
<i>Loctite VSI Inc. v. Chemfab N.Y. Inc.</i> , 268 A.D.2d 869, 701 N.Y.S.2d 723 (3d Dep't 2000).....	12
<i>Manchester Techs., Inc. v. Didata Inc.</i> , 303 A.D.2d 726, 757 N.Y.S.2d 439 (2d Dep't 2003).....	15
<i>Marlo Beauty Supply, Inc. v. Farmers Insurance Group</i> , 227 Mich. App. 309, 575 N.W.2d 324 (1998).....	5
<i>Michaels v. Amway Corp.</i> , 206 Mich. App. 644, 522 N.W.2d 703 (1994).....	15
<i>Muzak Corp. v. Hotel Taft Corp.</i> , 1 N.Y.2d 42, 150 N.Y.S.2d 171 133 N.E.2d 688 (1956).....	12

<i>Nesbitt v. America Community Mutual Insurance Co.</i> , 236 Mich. App. 215, 600 N.W.2d 427 (1999).....	5
<i>Pellot v. Pellot</i> , 305 A.D.2d 478, 759 N.Y.S.2d 494 (2d Dep't 2003).....	15, 19
<i>Portable Spas Plus, Inc. v. Integrated Software System, Inc.</i> , No. 242300, 2003 WL 22976523 (Mich. App. Dec. 18, 2003).....	14
<i>Progressive Timberlands, Inc. v. R&R Heavy Haulers, Inc.</i> , 243 Mich. App. 404, 622 N.W.2d 533 (2000).....	5
<i>QQC, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 258 F. Supp. 2d 718 (E.D. Mich. 2003).....	7
<i>Saldana v. Smith</i> , No. 214282, 2000 WL 33417387 (Mich. App. July 11, 2000).....	7
<i>Savasta v. 470 Newport Associates</i> , 82 N.Y.2d 763, 603 N.Y.S.2d 821, 623 N.E.2d 1171 (1993).....	8
<i>Spry v. The Grand Hotel</i> , No. 181447, 1996 WL 33348731 (Mich. App. Oct. 25, 1996).....	6, 7
<i>Suttman v. Nedow</i> , No. 204421, 1999 WL 33454999 (Mich. App. Feb. 2, 1999).....	9
<i>Townsend v. Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp.</i> , 254 Mich. App. 133, 657 N.W.2d 741 (2003).....	6
<i>Universal Underwriters Group v. Allstate Insurance Co.</i> , 246 Mich. App. 713, 635 N.W.2d 52 (2001).....	5
<i>Vitale v. Buffalino</i> , No. 230560, 2002 WL 1011761 (Mich. App. May 17, 2002).....	5, 6, 14
<i>Whitmer v. Johnston</i> , No. 239953, 2003 WL 21958213 (Mich. App. Aug. 14, 2003).....	5
<i>Young v. Whitney</i> , 111 A.D.2d 1013, 490 N.Y.S.2d 330 (1st Dep't 1985).....	8
<i>Zev v. Merman</i> , 73 N.Y.2d 781, 536 N.Y.S.2d 739, 533 N.E.2d 669 (1998).....	8, 9

STATE STATUTES

N.Y.U.C.C. § 2-609(4).....	9
----------------------------	---