


STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 04-056587-CK
Hon. Rae Lee Chabot



DAIMLERCHRYSLER
CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

JOEL H. SERLIN (P20224)
BARRY M. ROSENBAUM (P26487)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2000 Town Center, Suite 1500
Southfield, MI 48075
(248) 353-7620

JAMES P. FEENEY (P13335)
THOMAS S. BISHOP (P53753)
STEPHEN L. GUPPER (P53918)
Attorney for Defendants
39577 Woodward Avenue, Ste. 300
(248) 203-0700

RECEIVED
OAKLAND CO
JUN 01 2004
DEPUTY CLERK

AMENDED SUMMARY DISPOSITION SCHEDULING ORDER

At a session of said Court, held in the City of
Pontiac, County of Oakland, State of Michigan, on
JUN 01 2004

PRESENT: HON. RAE LEE CHABOT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

The parties, through their respective counsel, having stipulated to the entry of this Order,
and the Court being more fully advised in the premises:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's Summary Disposition
Scheduling Order dated May 4, 2004 regarding Defendant's Motion for Summary Disposition be
amended as follows:

(1) The Motion for Summary Disposition shall be heard by the Court on **July 21, 2004**.


(2) Plaintiff's response brief must be filed and received by the Court and opposing counsel by **June 16, 2004**.

(3) Defendant's reply brief (optional) must be filed and received by the Court and opposing counsel by **June 30, 2004**. The reply brief may not exceed five (5) pages.

(4) The moving party must re-precipe the above-stated motion to be heard on **July 21, 2004**.


(5) PLEASE BE ADVISED THE COURT WILL STRICTLY ENFORCE MCR 2.119(A)(2).

If briefs are not filed, the Court will assume that counsel is without authority for their respective positions, and will hear the motion on the re-preciped date. This scheduling order pertains to the above-stated motion only. All other motions remain scheduled as preciped. It is the responsibility of the moving party to notify the Court, in advance of the date scheduled, of any cancellations of the hearing. Counsel shall provide a copy of a response or reply (including brief as well as attachments) to the Judge's Chambers in accordance with MCR 2.116(G)(1)(c). The scheduling of this matter for oral argument does not preclude the Court from waiving oral argument at a later date pursuant to applicable Michigan Court Rule.




CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

So Stipulated:



Barry M. Rosenbaum (P26487)
Attorney for Plaintiff



Thomas S. Bishoff (P53753)
Attorney for Defendant

(1) The Motion for Summary Disposition shall be heard by the Court on **July 21, 2004**.

(2) Plaintiff's response brief must be filed and received by the Court and opposing counsel by **June 16, 2004**.

(3) Defendant's reply brief (optional) must be filed and received by the Court and opposing counsel by **June 30, 2004**. The reply brief may not exceed five (5) pages.

(4) The moving party must re-precipe the above-stated motion to be heard on **July 21, 2004**.


(5) PLEASE BE ADVISED THE COURT WILL STRICTLY ENFORCE MCR 2.119(A)(2).

If briefs are not filed, the Court will assume that counsel is without authority for their respective positions, and will hear the motion on the re-preciped date. This scheduling order pertains to the above-stated motion only. All other motions remain scheduled as preciped. It is the responsibility of the moving party to notify the Court, in advance of the date scheduled, of any cancellations of the hearing. Counsel shall provide a copy of a response or reply (including brief as well as attachments) to the Judge's Chambers in accordance with MCR 2.116(G)(1)(c). The scheduling of this matter for oral argument does not preclude the Court from waiving oral argument at a later date pursuant to applicable Michigan Court Rule.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

So Stipulated:

Barry M. Rosenbaum (P26487)
Attorney for Plaintiff

 5/20/04
Thomas S. Bishoff (P53753)
Attorney for Defendant